

EVALUATION OF THE
N-SOLV PROCESS – EXPERIMENTAL
OPERATION AND RESULTS

REPORT PREPARED FOR
HATCH LTD.
AND
NENNIGER ENGINEERING INC.

BY
ALBERTA RESEARCH COUNCIL INC.
T Frauenfeld
C. Jossy
E. Jossy
J. Bleile
G. Pierce

CONFIDENTIAL

June 22, 2005

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by Hatch Ltd. and Nenniger Engineering Inc. ("Hatch and Nenniger") and performed by the Alberta Research Council (ARC). All reasonable efforts were made to ensure that the work conforms to accepted scientific practice. However, neither Hatch, Nenniger, the ARC, nor any of their employees, makes any warrant, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Both Hatch and Nenniger acknowledge that any use or interpretation of the information, analysis or conclusions contained in this Report is at its own risk.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favouring by Hatch, Nenniger or the ARC.

The information contained in this Report includes information, which is confidential and proprietary to Hatch and Nenniger and information, which is confidential and proprietary to ARC. This Report, including the information, which is confidential and proprietary to ARC, may not be distributed, referenced or quoted without the prior written approval of ARC.

Any authorized copy of this Report distributed to a third party shall include an acknowledgement that the Report was prepared by ARC and shall give appropriate credit to ARC and the authors of the Report.

Copyright ARC 2005. All rights reserved.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes an experimental study of the N-Solv process. Three experiments were performed, in a physical model representing an unscaled segment of a bitumen bearing Athabasca formation. All experiments used propane as the solvent, with the third experiment modelling levels of methane contamination expected in the field. The experiments represented the oil-solvent interface at conditions from non-thermal Vapex to a fully condensing N-Solv extraction process. The experiments were done using reservoir permeability sand and at reservoir temperature and pressure, and at conditions appropriate to using condensing propane as a solvent.

A uniquely developed Adiabatic Test System (ATS) apparatus using over 1000 individually controlled heating tiles was used to dynamically match the internal sand pack temperature profiles and thereby maintain the sand pack at near-adiabatic conditions.

The first experiment reproduced conditions varying from Vapex at 11°C, to condensing solvent conditions at 40°C. Intermediate conditions of condensing solvent at temperatures of 20°C, 32°C and 35°C were also tested. Experiment #1 was run with the ATS set to maintain a constant temperature gradient from the sand pack face to the back of the pack, rather than adiabatic mode. Experiment #1 is a validation of Vapex oil production rates, and oil rates at varying temperatures with condensing propane.

Experiment #2 reproduced a single condition, namely condensing propane at 40°C. Initially, the ATS was run in constant temperature mode, to maintain a gradient from the sand face to the back of the pack. Adiabatic mode was used when temperatures stabilized. The propane supply was switched late in the experiment, and some reduction in oil rate was observed, due to the presence of residual helium in the propane supply. The use of an adiabatic temperature gradient control in this experiment meant that this test was primarily a confirmation of oil production rates, with better control of propane injection requirements than experiment #1.

Experiment #3 reproduced several operating conditions, namely pure propane at 40°C condensing condition, propane with 0.5 mole% methane and 40°C condensing, propane with 1.0 mole% methane and 40°C condensing, and finally a switch to pure propane to purge methane and helium contamination from the sand pack, followed by operation with pure propane at 40°C.

The helium contamination of the propane and consequent effect on oil rates underscored the importance of propane purity for this process. For this experiment, the ATS was first tested extensively to verify that it was capable of maintaining a truly adiabatic state, then run in the adiabatic mode. The piping to the cell was also modified to minimize heat losses through propane condensation in the lines connected to the pack. Experiment #3 therefore has the best heat balance data, and is a better validation of propane rate data as well as oil rate data.

The experiments produced data on oil extraction rates, heat transfer, bitumen yield, effect of temperature and pressure, oil upgrading and effect of solvent purity. The experiments showed an increase in production rates by a factor of 50 from Vapex operating conditions of 11°C to N-Solv operating conditions of 40°C. High recoveries (In range of 66 to 72 wt.% in the swept zone) were obtained, substantial upgrading of the produced oil due to asphaltene deposition (API increase of 5) was observed, and significant metals, sulphur and nitrogen removal (70%, 20% and 50%, respectively) was noted. Propane purity was important, as it was observed that contamination of the propane by non-condensable gasses led to a significant reduction of oil rates.

The experiments predicted front advance rates of from 0.88cm/day (Experiment #3, 1% CH₄) to 3.05 cm/day (Experiment #1), considering 66% to 72% of the oil in place was produced from the swept zone. The oil left behind was predominantly asphaltenes, and was solid at room temperature up to 40°C.

It must be kept in mind that heat losses in the field are not necessarily scaleable from those produced in the lab. It is recommended that field extrapolations be done with state-of-the-art numerical simulation, in order to better represent these heat losses. This would require several advances in current numerical simulation practice, including better treatment of diffusion, dispersion, asphaltene dropout, and viscosity of the resulting liquid phase(s).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER	i
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
1.0 BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 MECHANISMS OF THE N-SOLV PROCESS	2
3.0 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	2
4.0 EXPERIMENT #1, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION	9
5.0 EXPERIMENT #2, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION	11
6.0 EXPERIMENT #3, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION	13
7.0 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	15
8.0 CONCLUSIONS	21
9.0 REFERENCES	22
10.0 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	23
APPENDIX 1: INJECTION FLOW CONTROL DIAGRAMS	24
APPENDIX 2: PRODUCTION FLOW CONTROL DIAGRAMS	25
APPENDIX 3: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXPERIMENT 4	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Experimental Conditions - Test #1	26
Table 2: Experimental Conditions and Oil Rates - N-Solv Test 1.....	26
Table 3: N-Solv Experiment #1 Production Data	27
Table 4: Experimental Conditions - Test 2.....	28
Table 5: N-Solv Experiment #2: Oil Rate at Run Conditions.....	28
Table 6: N-Solv Experiment #2 - Production Data	29
Table 7: Experimental Conditions: N-Solv Experiment #3.....	30
Table 8: N-Solv Experiment #3: Run Conditions and Oil Rates	30
Table 9: N-Solv Experiment #3 - Production Data	31
Table 10: Gas Analysis Report - First Propane Cylinder	31
Table 11: Gas Analysis Report - Experiment #3 - Dec 13.....	32
Table 12: Gas Analysis Report, Experiment #3, Dec. 16.....	32
Table 13: Gas Analysis, Experiment #3 - Dec. 17.....	33
Table 14: Gas Analysis Report, experiment #3 - Dec. 20	34
Table 15: Gas Analysis Report, Experiment #3, Dec. 21.....	35
Table 16: Gas Analysis Report, Experiment #3, Dec. 22.....	35
Table 17: Experiments #1 and #2 - Produced Oil Analysis.....	36
Table 18: Experiment #3 - Produced Oil Analysis.....	40
Table 19: Vacuum Distillation of UTF bitumen.....	44
Table 20: Experiment #3 Produced Bitumen- TAN Analysis	45
Table 21: Simulated Distillation Data for Produced Bitumens, Partial Table	46
Table 22: Melting Point Determinations for Asphaltene Samples.....	47
Table 23: Analysis Of Post-Run Bitumen Extracted From Sand	48
Table 24: Simulated Distillation for Post-Run Asphaltenes, Partial Table	55

LIST OF FIGURES

1. Schematic of Experimental Apparatus.....	56
2. N-Solv Experiment #1: Cumulative Oil Production	57
3. N-Solv Experiment #1: C ₃ Injection Rate and Oil Production Rate	57
4. N-Solv Experiment #1: Barometer and Room Temperature.....	58
5. N-Solv Experiment #1: Solvent/Oil Ratio	58
6. N-Solv Experiment #1: Propane Injected and Produced.....	59
7. N-Solv Experiment #1: Propane Inventory	59
8. N-Solv Experiment #1: Room and Overburden Temperatures.....	60
9. N-Solv Experiment 1: Injection/Production Temperatures, Injection Pressure	60
10. N-Solv Experiment #1: Overburden/Gas Injection Pressures	61
11. N-Solv Experiment #2: Cumulative Oil Production	61
12. N-Solv Experiment #2: C ₃ Injection and Oil Production Rates.....	62
13. N-Solv Experiment #2: Solvent/ Oil Ratio	62
14. N-Solv Experiment 2: Injection and Production Temperatures, Inj. Pressure.....	63
15. N-Solv Experiment #2: Propane Injected and Produced.....	63
16. N-Solv Experiment #2: Room and Overburden Temperatures.....	64
17. N-Solv Experiment #2: Overburden/Injection Pressures	64
18. N-Solv Experiment #2: Barometer and Room Temperature.....	65
19. N- Solv Experiment #3: Cumulative Oil Production	65
20. N-Solv Experiment #3: Propane/Oil Ratio.....	66
21. N- Solv Experiment #3: Overburden/Injection Pressures	66
22. N-Solv Experiment #3: Propane Injected and Produced.....	67
23. N-Solv Experiment #3: Propane Inventory	67
24. N-Solv Experiment #3: Room and Overburden Temperatures.....	68
25. N-Solv Experiment #3: Room Temperature and Barometer.....	68
26. N-Solv Experiment #3: Propane Injection and Oil Production Rates.....	69

27. N-Solv Experiment #3: Injection and Production Pressure, Temperatures.....	69
28. N-Solv Experimental model, showing MUX cards, Field Point modules, and inj./prod. lines	70
29. N-Solv Experimental Model, concept diagram.....	71
30. Placement of Cooling Line on Experimental Model	71
31. Post-Run Core Sampling Diagram, Experiments 1 - 3	72
32. Photomicrograph of post-run depleted sand	73